THE DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20505

National Intelligence	Council	15 Sept	43-82 cember 1982	• .
e meterica Por estados		ı		
MEMORANDUM FOR:	Chairman, Weapons a Chairman, Scientifi	nd Space Systems Int c and Technical Inte	celligence Committee	
VIA :	Deputy Director for	Intelligence		25x1
• [Acting Chairman, Na	tional Intelligence	Council	
SUBJECT :	Proposal for an Interagency Study on Soviet Battlefield Laser Threat to US Forces			
REFERENCE :	Memo from D/OSWR to Dated 23 Aug 82	C/NIC, Same Subject	, DDI-6870/82,	
ment of anti-sens challenge to US v reiterated his co	sor laser weapons on weapon developers. Oncerns over these de ctors noted <u>in t</u> he p	ence issue. It is c the battlefield pre The US Army Chief of evelopments. I beli	lear that the deploy- sents a major Staff has recently eve these concerns	• 25X1
projective think deployed and how some work outside	t effective and efficion to WSSIC/STIC studing on where in the factor would be used to of the S&T Communitate R&D dollars rather ale as possible.	ly. The assessment: Force structure these on the battlefield. The study should	should include some e weapons may be This will require	♣ 25×1
spoken with Gener begun a dialogue and Acquisition a	is prepared to cooper ral Meyer about Army with the Army Deputy and his staff on more luable i <u>nsigh</u> ts as yo	rate fully in this proceed to the concerns in this are concerns in this are concerns the concerns are concerns the concerns are concerns to the concerns are concerns are concerns to the concerns are concerns.	ea and has recently Research, Developmen irements. I believe defining terms of	

CL BY SIGNER
DECL OADR
DERV FROM MIL 7-82/COL 4-82

SECRET

23 AUG 1952

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chairman, National Intelligence Council

THROUGH:

Deputy Director for Intelligence

FROM:

Director of Scientific and Weapons Research

SUBJECT:

Proposal for an Interagency Intelligence Memorandum on

Soviet Battlefield Laser Threat to US Forces.

1. From a variety of sources, it is clear that there is heightened interest and concern over Soviet threats to US forces that are posed by lasers, particularly low and medium-energy devices that have received little or no serious attention in the Intelligence Community. Though recognition of the possibility of such threats is not at all new, having been discussed for well over a decade, there is now an appreciation of the enormity of the potential threat and the perception of a clear and present danger to US forces.

25X1

- The most authoritative and up-to-date assessment of the problem and a statement of official concern are contained in the March 1982 Report of the Defense Science Board Task Force on Forward Area Laser Weapons. The covering memorandum for this report, approved by the Secretary of Defense, made specific recommendations for actions by the DOD, USDRE, and the Services. It also noted certain deficiencies in intelligence support, declaring that:
 - "much more emphasis and higher priority should be given by the intelligence community to evaluating the laser threat, both from collection and analysis viewpoints. In particular, more emphasis is needed in the low and medium energy threat evaluation."
 - the Director, Defense Intelligence Agency, is to be required by USDRE to "provide greater effort and higher priority for intelligence collection and analysis on the Soviet anti-sensor laser threat, including not only high, but low and medium energy programs as well.



SECRET

SUBJECT: Proposal for an Interagency Intelligence Memorandum on Soviet Battlefield Laser Threat to US Forces

3. In addition to any intramural efforts that individual	
intelligence agencies can and must undertake, perhaps serious	
consideration ought to be given to a prompt and direct response by	the
Intelligence Community.	25X
4. I suggest that the NIC request an assessment of this issue	4
jointly prepared by WSSIC and STIC. These committees have establis	hed
subcommittees with some knowledge and responsibility in this area	and
would be in the best position to respond in a timely manner.	
Furthermore, they are already working on a project in this area, as	
mentioned previously, so this effort would be a logical extension.	The
alternative would be to commission an IIM, but we believe that the	state
of knowledge at this time would not support as definitive a product	as
desired from an IIM. The WSSIC/STIC assessment would then serve as	the
first step, identifying areas for research prior to writing an IIM.	· (A
rough outline and a terms of reference for such a study are attache your consideration).	d for
,	
5. The WSSIC/STIC assessment as proposed would not be unique	
Without precedent, and it would not be premature. Recall that in t	h a :
early 1970s the NIO/SP requested an encyclopedic assessment of Sovi	at .
capabilities to develop strategic (high-energy) laser systems las	+
year, the STIC produced the community's seminal assessment of Sovie	ር ድ ·
radiofrequency weapons capabilities.	25X
	•
6. Though preparation of the assessment on battlefield lasers	
would be time consuming and difficult, I nevertheless suggest that	such
an effort would be worthwhile and that serious consideration be giv	en to
undertaking it as soon as possible. I have discussed the possibili	ty of
undertaking this project with the Chairmen of WSSIC and STIC.	, 25X
1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	
Attachment: As Stated	

